## SUMMATION OF FINITE SERIES

It is well known that certain finite series can be represented as simple functions of $n$. One of these, representing the sum of the first n integers, reads-

$$
\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=1+2+3+\ldots+(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{n}
$$

Rearranging yields-

$$
S(n)=\{(1+n)+(2+n-1)+(3+n-2)+\ldots\}=n(n+1) / 2
$$

So the sum of the first 100 integers equals $S(100)=5050$. Note that $S(n)$ is here a quadratic function of $n$. One would therefore expect the sum of the first $n$ squares to be a cubic in $n$. Indeed one finds-

$$
\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\wedge} 2=\mathrm{n} / 6+\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2 / 2+\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 3 / 3=[\mathrm{n}(1+\mathrm{n})(1+2 \mathrm{n})] / 6
$$

Thus the sum of the squares of the first ten integers will be-

$$
S(10)=10 / 6+100 / 2+1000 / 3=385
$$

In view of the above results it is also clear that the sum of a finite series involving the pth integer power of the integers will be represented by a $p+1$ power polynomial. This fact was first recognized by the Bernoulli brothers over 300 years ago.
In addition to having a finite series represented by simple polynomials, there are many others where a polynomial representation won't work. One of these series is the finite geometric series-

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}))=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{p}^{\wedge} k=1+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 3+\ldots . .+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}
$$

Here we find that -

$$
G(p, n)=\left[p^{\wedge}(n+1)-1\right] /[p-1]
$$

If $p=3$ and $n=4$, we get -

$$
G(3,4)=1+3+9+27+81=121
$$

Notice here that $p$ need not be smaller than unity for the finite sum to exist.
Another interesting finite sum based on the geometric series occurs for $p=\exp (-x)$
Here we find-

$$
\sum_{1}^{n} \exp (-k x)=[\exp (-x) \exp (-x n)-1] /[\exp (-x)-1]
$$

For n going to infinity and $\mathrm{x}=1$, this result states that-

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp (-n)=\frac{1}{[1-\exp (-1)]}=1.581976 .
$$

Sometimes the elements of a finite series sum are easy to find but the final functional form is not so obvious. Consider, for example, -

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(2^{k}+k\right)
$$

Here we have-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(0)=1 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(1)=4 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(2)=10 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(3)=21 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(4)=41 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(5)=78 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(6)=148
\end{aligned}
$$

A first glance suggest no obvious functional form which can reproduce all the $T(n)$. However further thought says-

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(2^{\wedge} k\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} k=2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}+1)-1+\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2
$$

This follows from using some of the earlier results. Further manipulations then yields the functional form-

$$
T(n)=\left[2^{\wedge}(n+2)-2+n(n+1)\right] / 2
$$

So we can use this result to confirm all of the above values for $T(n)$. Also we see that $T(7)=283$ and $T(8)=547$.

We have shown that many finite series may be represented by simple functional forms of $n$. Resemblances to both sum of the integer series and geometric series often lead to very simple summation values. A little thought often allows one to cast such finite series into simple functions of $n$.
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